



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/800,178	03/12/2004	Richard T. Sharpe	60877-0048	8611
24341	7590	12/02/2005	EXAMINER	
MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS, LLP. 2 PALO ALTO SQUARE 3000 EL CAMINO REAL PALO ALTO, CA 94306			MANCHO, RONNIE M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3663	

DATE MAILED: 12/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/800,178	Applicant(s) RICHARD T. SHARPE	
	Examiner Ronnie Mancho	Art Unit 3663	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 September 2005.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/15/05</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lin (US2001/0020216).

Regarding claim 1, Lin (abstract, figs. 5-11; pages 6-12 a) discloses (in a system for navigating an object based on code and carrier-phase measurements obtained using signals on a first frequency and signals on a second frequency from a plurality of satellites), a method for continuing dual-frequency navigation during a time period in which signals from a respective satellite on the first frequency are lost, the method comprising:

performing dual-frequency navigation before the time period, including computing smoothed code measurements and corrections to an ionospheric model based on code and carrier-phase measurements obtained using signals from the respective satellite on both the first and second frequencies;

performing backup navigation during the time period by synthesizing a carrier-phase measurement on the first frequency from a carrier-phase measurement on the second frequency and from the corrections to the ionospheric model computed prior to the time period; and

Art Unit: 3663

transitioning to dual-frequency navigation using signals from the respective satellite on both the first and second frequencies in response to resumption of receiving signals from the respective satellite on the first frequency.

Regarding claim 2, Lin (abstract, figs. 5-11; pages 6-12 a) discloses the method of claim 1 wherein computing the smoothed code measurements comprises:

smoothing a code measurement with a combination of carrier-phase measurements, the combination having an ionospheric delay that matches an ionospheric delay in the code measurement.\

Regarding claim 3, Lin (abstract, figs. 5-11; pages 6-12 a) discloses the method of claim 1, wherein performing dual-frequency navigation further comprises:

obtaining a modeled ionospheric bias term computed using the ionospheric model;
computing a measured ionospheric bias term using the smoothed code measurements;
and

computing a correction to the modeled ionospheric bias term by taking a difference between the measured and modeled ionospheric bias terms.

Regarding claim 4, Lin (abstract, figs. 5-11; pages 6-12 a) discloses the method of claim 3 wherein performing dual-frequency navigation further comprises:

obtaining a modeled ionospheric rate term computed using the ionospheric model;
computing a measured ionospheric rate term using differences of carrier-phase measurements between two measurement epochs; and

computing a correction to the modeled ionospheric rate term by taking a difference between the measured and modeled ionospheric rate terms.

Regarding claim 5, Lin (abstract, figs. 5-11; pages 6-12 a) discloses the method of claim 1 wherein performing backup navigation further comprises:

obtaining a modeled ionospheric bias term computed using the ionospheric model;
computing an estimated ionospheric bias term using the modeled ionospheric bias term and the corrections to the ionospheric model computed before the time period;
computing the synthesized carrier-phase measurement on the first frequency using the estimated ionospheric bias term and the carrier-phase measurement on the second frequency.

Regarding claim 6, Lin (abstract, figs. 5-11; pages 6-12 a) discloses the method of claim 1 wherein performing backup navigation further comprises:

computing estimated smoothed code measurements on both the first and second frequencies using the synthesized carrier-phase measurement on the first frequency, the carrier-phase measurement on the second frequency, and computation results obtained based on signals from the respective satellite on both the first and second frequencies received at the object before the time period.

Regarding claim 7, Lin (abstract, figs. 5-11; pages 6-12 a) discloses the method of claim 6 wherein performing backup navigation further comprises computing updated corrections to the ionospheric model based on the corrections to the ionospheric model, the estimated smoothed code measurement on the second frequency, and a code measurement obtained using signals on the second frequency.

Regarding claim 8, Lin (abstract, figs. 5-11; pages 6-12 a) discloses the method of claim 1 wherein transitioning to dual-frequency navigation comprises:

determining whether the time period exceeds a predetermined threshold in response to a determination that the time period does not exceed a predetermined threshold, determining whether a difference between a measured carrier-phase range and a synthesized carrier-phase range corresponding to the first frequency is sufficiently close to an integer number of the wavelength corresponding to the first frequency; and

in response to a determination that the difference between the measured carrier-phase range and the synthesized carrier-phase range is sufficiently close to an integer number of the wavelength, adjusting an estimated ambiguity value associated with the measured carrier-phase measurement or adjusting an estimated offset between a code measurement on the first frequency and a carrier-phase combination having an ionospheric delay that matches the ionospheric delay in the code measurement.

Regarding claim 9, Lin (abstract, figs. 5-11; pages 6-12 a) discloses in a system for navigating an object based on code and carrier-phase measurements obtained using signals from a plurality of satellites, a method for performing backup dual-frequency navigation when signals on one of two frequencies from one or more satellites are unavailable, comprising:

for each satellite from which signals on one of two frequencies are unavailable, generating a synthesized carrier-phase measurement on the one of the two frequencies that is unavailable from a measured carrier-phase measurement obtained using signals from the respective satellite on another one of the two frequencies, and from a first set of computation results obtained with respect to the respective satellite during steady-state processing when signals on both of the two frequencies were available from the respective satellite; and

Art Unit: 3663

generating smoothed code measurements on the two frequencies from the measured carrier-phase measurement, the synthesized carrier-phase measurement, and a second set of computation results obtained during steady-state processing when signals on both of the two frequencies were available from the respective satellite.

Regarding claim 10, Lin (abstract, figs. 5-11; pages 6-12 a) discloses the method of claim 9 wherein the first set of computation results include corrections to an ionospheric model.

Regarding claim 11, Lin (abstract, figs. 5-11; pages 6-12 a) discloses the method of claim 9, further comprising:

updating the corrections to the ionospheric model.

Regarding claim 12, Lin (abstract, figs. 5-11; pages 6-12 a) discloses the method of claim 10 wherein the corrections to the ionospheric model include an ionospheric bias term and an ionospheric rate term.

Regarding claim 13, Lin (abstract, figs. 5-11; pages 6-12 a) discloses the method of claim 10 wherein the first set of computation results include those computed from smoothed code measurements.

Regarding claim 14, Lin (abstract, figs. 5-11; pages 6-12 a) discloses the method of claim 13 wherein the smoothed code measurements are computed by forming combinations of carrier-phase measurements each having an ionospheric delay that matches an ionospheric delay in a corresponding code measurement, and by smoothing the code measurement with the corresponding combination of carrier-phase measurements to remove multipath errors in the code measurement.

Art Unit: 3663

Regarding claim 15, Lin (abstract, figs. 5-11; pages 6-12 a) discloses the method of claim 14 wherein the first set of computation results include those computed from smoothed offsets each between a smoothed code measurement and a carrier-phase combination corresponding to the code measurement.

Regarding claim 16, Lin (abstract, figs. 5-11; pages 6-12 a) discloses the method of claim 15 wherein the second set of computation results include the smoothed offsets.

Regarding claim 17, Lin (abstract, figs. 5-11; pages 6-12 a) discloses a system for navigating an object based on code and carrier-phase measurements obtained using signals on a first frequency and signals on a second frequency from a plurality of satellites, a computer medium storing therein computer readable instructions that when executed by a computer performs a method for continuing dual-frequency navigation during a time period in which signals from a respective satellite on the first frequency are lost, the instructions comprising:

instructions for performing dual-frequency navigation before the time period by computing smoothed code measurements and corrections to an ionospheric model based on code and carrier-phase measurements obtained using signals from the respective satellite on both the first and second frequencies before the time period;

instructions for performing backup navigation during the time period by synthesizing a carrier-phase measurement on the first frequency from a carrier-phase measurement on the second frequency and from the corrections to the ionospheric model computed prior to the time period; and

Art Unit: 3663

instructions for transitioning to dual-frequency navigation using signals from the respective satellite on both the first and second frequencies in response to resumption of receiving signals from the respective satellite on the first frequency.

Regarding claim 18, Lin (abstract, figs. 5-11; pages 6-12 a) discloses the computer readable medium of claim 17 wherein the instructions for performing dual-frequency navigation further comprises:

instructions for smoothing a code measurement with a combination of carrier-phase measurements to form a smoothed code measurement, the combination having a ionospheric delay that matches an ionospheric delay in the code measurement; and

instructions for computing a correction to a modeled ionospheric bias term.

Regarding claim 19, Lin (abstract, figs. 5-11; pages 6-12 a) discloses the computer readable medium of claim 17 wherein the instructions for performing backup navigation further comprises:

instructions for obtaining a modeled ionospheric bias term;

instructions for computing an estimated ionospheric bias term using the modeled ionospheric bias term and the corrections to the ionospheric model computed before the time period;

instructions for computing the synthesized carrier-phase measurement on the first frequency using the estimated ionospheric bias term and the carrier-phase measurement obtained using signals on the second frequency.

Art Unit: 3663

Regarding claim 20, Lin (abstract, figs. 5-11; pages 6-12 a) discloses the computer readable medium of claim 17 wherein the instructions for transitioning to dual-frequency navigation comprises:

instructions for determining whether the time period exceeds a predetermined threshold;
instructions for determining, in response to a determination that the time period does not exceed a predetermined threshold, whether a difference between a measured carrier-phase range and a synthesized carrier-phase range corresponding to the first frequency is sufficiently close to an integer number of the wavelength corresponding to the first frequency; and

instructions for adjusting, in response to a determination that the difference between the measured carrier-phase range and the synthesized carrier-phase range is sufficiently close to an integer number of the wavelength, an estimated ambiguity value associated with the measured carrier-phase measurement or an estimated offset between a code measurement on the first frequency and a carrier-phase combination having an ionospheric delay that matches the ionospheric delay in the code measurement.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 9-15-05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant is arguing that the prior art does not disclose correcting an ionospheric model. The examiner respectfully disagrees. As admitted by the applicant, Lin disclose an ionospheric model. The ionospheric model is corrected when there are cycle slips using single or dual frequencies.. Lin (sections 156-158) disclose that when there is an ambiguity, the ambiguity

Art Unit: 3663

resolution block 504 rectifies the ambiguities. As indicated by Lin, the ionospheric model is continually corrected during cycle slips by the ambiguity resolution block 504. The examiner notes that the applicant skipped these sections in Lin without making mention of them.

It is therefore, believed that the rejections are proper and stand.

The applicant believes that the other prior submitted and not relied upon in the rejections do not anticipate the inventions. Applicant's view is acknowledged.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3663

Communication

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ronnie Mancho whose telephone number is 571-272-6984. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs: 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Keith can be reached on 571-272-6878. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ronnie Mancho
Examiner
Art Unit 3663

11/27/05


JACK KEITH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER